```
Case 3:73-cv-00125-RCJ-WGC Document 1091 Filed 05/22/12 Page 1 of 2
 1
2
3
 4
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 5
                            DISTRICT OF NEVADA
6
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                            IN EOUITY NO. C-125-ECR-WGC
8
                                            3:73-cv-00125-ECR-WGC
        Plaintiff,
9
   WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,
10
        Plaintiff-Intervenor,
11
   vs.
12
                                            Order
   WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT,
13
   a corporation, et al.,
14
        Defendants.
15
        On April 12, 2012, the United States Board of Water Commissioners
16
   and the Chief Deputy Water Commissioner filed a Report and Petition
17
   for Approval of Budget and Approval of Rate of Assessment for the Year
18
   July 1,2012 Through June 30, 2012, and for Approval of the Audit
19
   Report for the Year Ended June 30, 2011 (#1069) ("Report and
20
   Petition"). A hearing was set for May 30, 2012. The Court ordered
21
   (#1076) that if no objections were filed by May 16, 2012, the Court
22
   would consider vacating the hearing.
23
        On May 16, 2012, the Walker River Paiute Tribe (the "Tribe") and
24
   the United States of America filed Joint Comments (#1087) to the
25
   Report and Petition (#1069). The Tribe and the United States state
26
   that their comments are similar to their joint comments filed annually
27
   with the Court in prior years, and that therefore a hearing may not be
28
```

## Case 3:73-cv-00125-RCJ-WGC Document 1091 Filed 05/22/12 Page 2 of 2

1 required. Subject to the comments, the Tribe and the United States do  $2 \parallel \text{not object to the Court approving the Report and Petition (#1069).}$ The comments largely pertain to a concern that the delivery of water Tribe account for conveyance losses and accuracy of  $5 \parallel$  measurement. The Tribe and the United States do not object to United 6 States Board of Water Commissioners administering the Decree and the delivery of water in the same manner as in prior similar irrigation 8 systems.

On May 17, 2012, the Walker River Irrigation District responded (#1088) to certain of the Joint Comments (#1087), responding that the 11 comments are largely similar or identical to previous years' comments, 12 and that the Walker River Irrigation District recognizes that the 13 Tribe and the United States have not waived the rights they may have  $14 \parallel$ to seek in the future a different place of measurement and/or to the 15 addition of water to offset conveyance losses, if any.

16

17

IT IS, THEREFORE, HEREBY ORDERED that no objections having been 18 timely filed to the Report and Petition (#1069), the hearing set for  $19 \parallel \text{May}$  30, 2012 is **VACATED**. The Report and Petition (#1069) shall be 20 approved by separate order.

21

22

23

24

DATED: May 22, 2012.

26

27

28